## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:	)	I hereb
Kaoru Kagami et al.	Before the Examiner	deposi
	Thanh-Tam Le	addres
Application No. 09/901,307	)	P.O. B 1450 o
	Group Art Unit 2839	
Filed: July 9, 2001	)	
	)	
OPTICAL FILTERING MODULE AND )	)	N:
OPTICAL DEVICES USING SUCH	October 14, 2004	12
OPTICAL FILTERING MODULE	)	—

by certify that this paper is being ted with the United States Postal e as first class mail in an envelope sed to the Commissioner for Patents, ox 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-

October 14, 2004

Date of Signature

Matthew R. Schantz

ame of Registered Representative

Signature

## AMENDMENT AFTER SECOND ACTION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 18, 2004, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks:

## INTRODUCTION

Claims 1-3, 5-19, 21, and 22 are pending in the application. Claims 1-3, 5-19, 21, and 22 stand presently rejected. Claims 4, 5, 11, 12, 20, and 21 had earlier been identified by the examiner as containing patentable subject matter, but have now been rejected. Claims 1, 2, 9, 16, and 17 are objected to for various informalities. Applicants respond to the objections and rejections as follows:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims, which begins on page 2.

Remarks begin on page 9.

The Conclusion and statement of fees begin on page 10.